Johnson-Johnson FACSIMILE

MAY 1 2 2006

C	Commissioner for Patents	DATE:	May 12, 2006
-	571) 273-8300	Re:	END5293USNP
OM: A	andy Ulmer, Esq.	No. of PAGES:	Including Cover Page:
A			

Patent Office:

I am transmitting the following documents:

KOXX)	This cover Sheet Amendment to Office Action & Extension of Time Corrected Amendment to Office Action	page(s) page(s) page(s)
PKY	Copy of Office Action	page(s)
	Petition for Revival Unintentionally	page(s)
	Extension of Time	page(s)
	Terminal Disclaimer	page(s)
	Notice of Non-Compliant	page(s)
	Notice of Appeal	page(s)
	Change of Correspondence Address	page(s)
	RCE	page(s)
	Translations to cited references	page(s)

Thank you for your help. Please contact me if you have problems with the transmission.

Kimberly M. Moses Executive Legal Assistant

Dean L. Garner and Gerry S. Gressel Johnson & Johnson Law Department

Email: kmoses@eesus.inj.com

513-337-7019 Tel 513-337-4030 Fax

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This message is intended only for the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message solely to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return to us at the above address via the U.S. postal service.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Dog. 1450
Abstractive, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		wary signa gov	113-1450
10/808,078	03/24/2004	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
27777 .75	01/24/2006	Mark Tsonton	END-5293	7086
PHILIP S. JOY	INSON		EXAM	INER,
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON	DHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA		ROY, AND	TRADIA
NEW BRUNSW	ICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT 3736	PAPER NUMBER
•			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

JAN 2 7 2006

181 PAT. DKT. SECTION

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/808,078	TSONTON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
- The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Anuradha Roy	3736
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	wars on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	35(a), thing event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin till apply and will apply 517 (a) 410	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered annely.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/24/	04.	
2a) I his action is FINAL. 2b) This	action is one 61	
Since this application is in condition for allower	Ce except for formal make	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
The same true by active under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers	election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	,	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accer	ofed or b) objected to	by the Examiner
the discussion of the discussi	Offwing(s) ha hald in an area.	
in the comment	O ic required to the above	
the Exa	miner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents I 2. Certified copies of the priority documents I 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in April 17 2000	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachmont(s)		•
Notice of References Cited (PTO_Rock)	41.T	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) March Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/03) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/24/04.	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Actio		Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060118

Application/Control Number: 10/808,078

Art Unit: 3736

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION



Claim Objections

Claims 17, 18, & 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 17 & 18 state dependence on Claim 19. Additionally, Claim 20 states dependence on Claim 20. Examiner believes this was an inadvertent error and Applicant intended for Claims 17 & 18 to be dependent on Claim 16, and Claim 20 to be dependent on Claim 19; therefore, the claims have been examined in this manner. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 & 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kumar et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0028094).

Regarding claim 1, Kumar et al. discloses a biopsy device suitable for use with a magnetic resonance imaging machine, said device comprising an elongated needle (1810) for receiving tissue therethrough, the needle comprising: a distal needle segment (1811) comprising a tissue receiving port (1812), the distal needle segment formed of a first material [0045] that does not interfere with MRI imaging of a portion of the distal needle segment associated with the tissue receiving port; a proximal needle segment

Application/Control Number: 10/808,078
Art Unit: 3736

Page 3

(1890 & 1820) disposed proximally of the tissue receiving port, the proximal needle segment formed at least in part of a second material different from said first material [0188].

With regard to claims 6-8, Kumar et al. discloses a proximal needle segment, wherein the second material comprises a metal and is non-magnetic [0186] and is selected from the group comprising aluminum, aluminum alloys, stainless steel, titanium, titanium alloys, and combinations thereof [0186]. Examiner contends wire is a form of metal according to the definition found in the Webster dictionary online.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 & 9-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al. in view of Huitema et al. (US Patent No. 6,626,849).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 16 –18, & 19, Kumar discloses a biopsy device suitable for use with a magnetic resonance imaging machine and comprising: a distal needle segment (1811) comprising a tissue receiving port (1812) communicating with a cutter lumen, the distal needle segment formed of a first material [0045]; a proximal needle segment (1890 & 1820) formed at least in part of a metal [0188], the proximal needle segment providing at least a portion of the cutter lumen (Figure 18 & 19), and wherein

ordoriadki, z dzazegoritek i ili deliki

Application/Control Number: 10/808,078

Art Unit: 3736

Page 4

said metal is spaced proximally at least about 0.5 inch from a proximal edge of said tissue receiving port [0079]. Kumar, however, does not teach of the first material being a non-metallic material. However, Huitema et al. teaches of a non-metallic and nonmagnetic material (Column 4, lines 25-31) for the distal region of the needle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in view of Huitema et al. to utilize a non-metallic and non-magnetic material on the needle with Kumar et al. in order to prevent an obscured image of the lesion by the needle.

"The image of the lesion will show the metal probe, and this is problematic because the image of the probe can obscure the image of the lesion. Therefore, there has been a desire to have a generally non-metallic biopsy probe of the type described above." (Column 2, lines 59-63)

Regarding claim 4, Hultema et al. further discloses a distal needle segment, wherein the first material comprises a liquid crystal polymer (Column 4, lines 25-31).

With regard to claims 9-11, Huitema et al. discloses a device further comprising a distal piercing tip (Figure 1 & 60) disposed distal of the tissue receiving port, wherein the distal piercing tip comprises a non-metallic material and is selected from the group comprising ceramics and glasses (Column 7, lines 30-34).

In regards to claim 12, Huitema et al. discloses a device, wherein the proximal needle segment (33) and the distal needle segment provide a continuous, smooth cutter lumen (32).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,078

Page 5

Art Unit: 3736

In regards to claim 13, Huitema et al. discloses a device, wherein the proximal needle segment and the distal needle segment provide a continuous vacuum lumen (34).



In regards to claim 14, Huitema et al. discloses a device, wherein the needle comprises at least passage (36) extending from the vacuum lumen to an outer surface of the needle.

In regards to claim 15, Huitema et al. discloses a device, wherein the distal needle segment comprises a plurality of passages (Figure 4 & 23) extending from the vacuum lumen to the outer surface of the needle.

With regard to claim 20, Huitema et al. discloses a device, wherein the distal needle segment comprises at least a portion of a vacuum lumen (Figure 4 & 34).

Additional Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al. in view of Sapatova et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0203140).

Kumar et al. discloses the aforementioned element of a biopsy device. Kumar et al., however, does not directly disclose a melt flow index of at least about 15 grams/minute. However, Sapatova et al. teaches of a melt flow index of at least about 15 grams/minute ([0015] & [0047]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in view of Sapatova et al. to have a melt flow index of at least 15 grams/minute with Kumar et al. in order to allow for the "implementation of multi-cavitation tools, and a commensurate increase in productivity" [0047].

Application/Control Number: 10/808,078

Art Unit: 3736

Page 6

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rhad et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0109801) discloses a MRI compatible surgical device and Karasawa (US Patent No. 5,738,632) discloses material in used for MRI apparatus.

Any Inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anuradha Roy whose telephone number is (571) 272-6169 and whose email address is anuradha.roy@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached between 8:00am and 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

~AR~

TINGENSUNG PATENT EXAMPLES

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MAY 1 2 2006

PTO/SE/OSA (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002, CMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patters and Tractament Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONNESCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to Subaltitute for form 1449A/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

Sheet 1 of 1

a collection of information unless it displays	a v≊lid OMB control number.
Application Number	Not Yet Assigned
Filing Date	March 24, 2004
First Named Inventor	Mark Tsonton et al
Group Art Unit	Not Yet Assigned
Examinor Name	Not Yet Assigned
Attorney Dacket Number	END-5293

		U.S. Patern Document	int	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	<u> </u>	
Examiner Initials	Cite No,1	Number	Kind Code ⁴ (if known)	Name of Palenties or Applicant of Cried Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document mm-dd-yyyy	Pages, Columns, Lines, where relevant passages or relevant figures appear
ar	<u> </u>	5,526,822		Burbank et al.	06/18/1996	ALL
RK.		5,895,401		Daum et al.	04/20/1999	ALL
an		6,085,544		Hibner et al.	07/11/2000	ALL
ad		6,620,111	B2	Stephens et al.	09/16/2003	ALL
al		6,626,849	82	Huitema et a.	09/30/2003	ALL
ack		6,638,235	82	Miller et al.	10/28/2003	ALL
Ch		2003/0199753	A1	Hibner et al.	10/23/2003	ALL
al		2003/0199754	A1	Hibner et al.	10/23/2003	ALL
al		2003/0199785	A1	Hibner et at.	10/23/2003	ALL
Och	<u></u>	2003/0109803	A1	Huitema et al.	06/12/2003	ALL

				•		I

		Foreign	Patent Doc	ment	PATENT DOCUMENTS	Date of Publication	Pages, Columns,	Г
Examiner Initials	Cão No.1	Office)	Number	KindCode ⁸	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	of Cited Document mm-dd-yyyy	Lines, where relevant or spassages or relevant figures respect	7
	_							
								\vdash
								Ī

Examiner			
	A 1 1	Date	1
Signature	ou distant	Considered	1 1810ma
'EXAMINER	Initial it reference constituted whether as a single for	1 2012-00180	7 (4),2000

*EXAMINER: Initial it reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation it not in conformance and not considered, thickude copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Linking eduction designation number: 2 See attached kinds of U.S. Patent Occuments: 2 Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-textor code (WIPO Standard ST.3). For Japaness patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document, stand of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 18 it possible, applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Transition is ettached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is exektrated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will very depending upon the needs of the individual case, Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

		Notice of Reference	3 CKEU		10/808,078 Reexam TSONTO		ISCHICKI	nt(s)/Patent Under ination ON ET AL.	
				Examiner		Art Unit			
	Anuradha Roy 3736						3736	Page 1 of 1	
				U.S. P	ATENT DOCUMENTS				
	•	Document Number Gountry Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Name	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Classification	
Α		US-2003/0028094	02-2003	Kumar	et al.		***************************************	600/410	
В	3	US-6,626,849	09-2003	Huitem	a et al.		-	600/564	
С	;	⊔S-2003/0203140	10-2003	Sapato	va et al.	428/35,7			
, D	2	US-2003/0109801	06-2003	Rhad e	et al.			600/564	
E	Ξ	U\$-5.738,632	04-1998	Karasa	wa, Masaru			600/410	
F	=	U\$-				V			
G	3	บร-							
Н	1	US-					****		
1		US-							
J	,	US-							
к	ĸ	US-							
L	L	US-							
N	Vī	US-				•	·····		
				FOREIGN	PATENT DOCUMENTS				
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Country	Name		Classification	
—	Ν.		-						
	0		ļ	ļ					
-	P .			ļ					
-	<u> </u>		-	ļ					
	R -		-	ļ					
	S			<u> </u>					
	Τ :			1				,	
F		look	do se spallacht		PATENT DOCUMENTS Title Date, Publisher, Editi		Dodlard D.		
+		ITICIL	че аз аррисарі	e. Audior,	nue Date, Publisher, Editu	or volume,	Lauruciu Lage		
l	U								
\	٧								
ν	W			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
+.						 .			